Project: MO-600 CoC Registration FY2024

## Before Starting the Project Listings for the CoC **Priority Listing**

The CoC Consolidated Application requires TWO submissions. Both this Project Priority Listing AND the CoC Application MUST be completed and submitted prior to the CoC Program Competition submission deadline stated in the NOFO.

The CoC Priority Listing includes:

- Reallocation forms must be completed if the CoC is reallocating eligible renewal projects to create new projects or if a project applicant will transition from an existing component to an eligible new component.
- Project Listings:
- New;
- Renewal;
- UFA Costs;
- CoC Planning;
- YHDP Renewal; and
- YHDP Replacement and Reallocation.
- Attachment Requirement
- HUD-2991, Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan Collaborative Applicants must attach an accurately completed, signed, and dated HUD-2991.

#### Things to Remember:

- New and Renewal Project Listings all CoC project applications must be reviewed, approved and ranked, or rejected based on the local CoC competition process.
- Project applications on the following Project Listings must be approved and are not ranked per the FY 2024 FY 2025 CoC Program Competition NOFO:
- UFA Costs Project Listing;
- CoC planning Project Listing;YHDP Renewal Project Listing (All Rounds); and
- YHDP Replacement and Reallocation Project Listing.
- Collaborative Applicants are responsible for ensuring all project applications accurately appear on the Project Listings and there are no project applications missing from one or more Project Listings.
- For each project application rejected by the CoC the Collaborative Applicant must select the reason for the rejection from the dropdown provided.
- If the Collaborative Applicant needs to amend a project application for any reason, the Collaborative Applicant MUST ensure the amended project is returned to the applicable Project Listing AND ranked or approved BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing to HUD in e-snaps.

Additional training resources are available online on HUD's website. https://www.hud.gov/program offices/comm planning/coc/competition

## 1A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification

#### Instructions:

For guidance on completing the CoC Priority listing, please reference the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide on HUD's website. https://www.hud.gov/program\_offices/comm\_planning/coc/competition.

Collaborative Applicant Name: City of Springfield MO

### 2. Reallocation

#### Instructions:

For guidance on completing the CoC Priority listing, please reference the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide on HUD's website. https://www.hud.gov/program\_offices/comm\_planning/coc/competition

2-1 Is the CoC reallocating funds from one or Momore eligible renewal grant(s) that will expire in Calendar Year 2025 into one or more new projects?

## Continuum of Care (CoC) New Project Listing

#### Instructions:

Prior to starting the New Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide available on HUD's website.

To upload all new project applications submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of new projects submitted by project applicant(s) to your CoC in the e-snaps system. You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. To review a project on the New Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application(s), you can send the application back to the project applicant to make the necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps. https://www.hud.gov/program\_offices/comm\_planning/coc/competition.

WARNING: If you amend project applications back to project applicants to make changes or corrections in e-snaps, you must approve the resubmitted project applications. If you do not approve the resubmitted project applications, they will not be included on your CoC's Priority Listings, which could result in your CoC losing funding. HUD lacks the authority to fund projects unless they are included on the Priority Listings, which informs HUD which projects your CoC is prioritizing.

Project Name	Date Submitte d	Comp Type	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Grant Term	PH/Reall oc	Rank	PSH/RR H	Expansio n
FY 2024 CoC Progr	2024-10- 09 17:05:	PH	empower: abilities	\$132,882	1 Year	CoC Bonus	2	PSH	
Harmony House Rap	2024-10- 11 10:22:	PH	Family Violence C	\$213,820	1 Year	DV Bonus	D9	RRH	

Project Priority List FY2024	Page 4	10/18/2024

## Continuum of Care (CoC) Renewal Project Listing

#### Instructions:

Prior to starting the Renewal Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide available on HUD's website.

To upload all renewal project applications submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of renewal projects submitted by project applicant(s) to your CoC in the e-snaps system. You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. To review a project on the Renewal Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application(s), you can send the application back to the project applicant to make necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

https://www.hud.gov/program\_offices/comm\_planning/coc/competition.

The Collaborative Applicant certifies that there is a demonstrated need for all renewal permanent supportive housing and rapid re-housing projects listed on the Renewal Project Listing.	X
The Collaborative Applicant certifies all renewal permanent supportive housing and rapid rehousing projects listed on the Renewal Project Listing comply with program requirements and appropriate standards of quality and habitability.	X
The Collaborative Applicant does not have any renewal permanent supportive housing or rapid re-housing renewal projects.	

WARNING: If you amend project applications back to project applicants to make changes or corrections in e-snaps, you must approve the resubmitted project applications. If you do not approve the resubmitted project applications, they will not be included on your CoC's Priority Listings, which could result in your CoC losing funding. HUD lacks the authority to fund projects unless they are included on the Priority Listings, which informs HUD which projects your CoC is prioritizing.

Project Priority List FY2024	Page 5	10/18/2024
1 Tojooti Holity Elotti 1202 I	l ago o	10/10/2021

Project Name	Date Submitte d	Grant Term	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Rank	PSH/RR H	Comp Type	Consolid ation Type	Expansion Type
2024 - SCG Shelte	2024-10- 03 11:24:	1 Year	Missouri Departm e	\$223,232	7	PSH	PH		
MO Springfiel d HM	2024-10- 03 11:58:	1 Year	Institute for Com	\$114,703	1		HMIS		
CCSOM O HUD CoC RR	2024-10- 10 11:04:	1 Year	Catholic Charitie	\$132,882	10	RRH	PH		
TKI RRH Families	2024-10- 10 14:35:	1 Year	The Kitchen, Inc.	\$287,258	6	RRH	PH		
TKI RRH Youth	2024-10- 10 14:36:	1 Year	The Kitchen, Inc.	\$80,743	5	RRH	PH		
TKI Chronic PSH	2024-10- 10 14:33:	1 Year	The Kitchen, Inc.	\$158,679	8	PSH	PH		
TKI CoC PSH	2024-10- 10 14:34:	1 Year	The Kitchen, Inc.	\$162,890	4	PSH	PH		
FAC YCC Th/PH- RRH	2024-10- 15 16:05:	1 Year	Foster Adopt Connect	\$129,200	3		Joint TH & PH- RRH		

Project Priority List FY2024	Page 6	10/18/2024

## Continuum of Care (CoC) Planning Project Listing

#### Instructions:

Prior to starting the CoC Planning Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide available on HUD's website.

To upload the CoC planning project application submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes while the project is located in the e-snaps system. You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. To review the CoC Planning Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to view the project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application, you can send the application back to the project applicant to make necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

Only one CoC planning project application can be submitted and only by the Collaborative Applicant designated by the CoC which must match the Collaborative Applicant information on the CoC Applicant Profile.

https://www.hud.gov/program\_offices/comm\_planning/coc/competition.

WARNING: If you amend project applications back to project applicants to make changes or corrections in e-snaps, you must approve the resubmitted project applications. If you do not approve the resubmitted project applications, they will not be included on your CoC's Priority Listings, which could result in your CoC losing funding. HUD lacks the authority to fund projects unless they are included on the Priority Listings, which informs HUD which projects your CoC is accepting.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Grant Term	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Accepted?
MO-600 CoC Planni	2024-10-18 10:58:	1 Year	City of Springfield	\$71,273	Yes

# Continuum of Care (CoC) YHDP Renewal Project Listing

#### Instructions:

Prior to starting the YHDP Renewal Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide available on HUD's website.

To upload all YHDP Renewal project applications submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of YHDP Renewal projects submitted by project applicant(s) to your CoC in the e-snaps system.

You may update each of the Project simultaneously. To review a project on the YHDP Renewal Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application(s), you can send the application back to the project applicant to make necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked (if applicable) or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

As stated in the FY 2024 - FY 2025 NOFO, YHDP Renewal and YHDP Replacement applications must not be ranked. https://www.hud.gov/program\_offices/comm\_planning/coc/competition.

The Collaborative Applicant certifies that there is a demonstrated need for all renewal permanent supportive housing and rapid rehousing projects listed on the YHDP Renewal Project Listing.	
The Collaborative Applicant certifies all renewal permanent supportive housing and rapid rehousing projects listed on the YHDP Renewal Project Listing comply with program requirements and appropriate standards of quality and habitability.	
The Collaborative Applicant does not have any renewal permanent supportive housing or rapid rehousing YHDP renewal projects.	X

WARNING: If you amend project applications back to project applicants to make changes or corrections in e-snaps, you must approve the resubmitted project applications. If you do not approve the resubmitted project applications, they will not be included on your CoC's Priority Listings, which could result in your CoC losing funding. HUD lacks the authority to fund projects unless they are included on the Priority Listings, which informs HUD which projects your CoC is accepting.

Project Priority List FY2024	Page 8	10/18/2024
------------------------------	--------	------------

**Applicant:** Springfield/Greene, Christian, Webster Counties CoC

COC\_REG\_2024\_214707

MO-600

Project: MO-600 CoC Registration FY2024

Project Name	Date Submitted	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Comp Type	Grant Term	Accepted?	PSH/RRH	Consolidati on Type
This list contains no items								

# Continuum of Care (CoC) YHDP Replacement and YHDP Reallocation Listing

#### Instructions:

Prior to starting the YHDP Replacement and YHDP Reallocation Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide available on HUD's website.

To upload all YHDP Replacement project and YHDP Reallocation project applications, submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of YHDP renewal projects submitted by project applicant(s) to your CoC in the e-snaps system.

You may update each of the projects simultaneously. To review a project on the YHDP Replacement and YHDP Reallocation Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application(s), you can send the application back to the project applicant to make necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked (if applicable) or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

As stated in the FY 2024 - FY 2025 NOFO, YHDP Renewal, YHDP Reallocation and YHDP Replacement applications must not be ranked. https://www.hud.gov/program\_offices/comm\_planning/coc/competition.

WARNING: If you amend project applications back to project applicants to make changes or corrections in e-snaps, you must approve the resubmitted project applications. If you do not approve the resubmitted project applications, they will not be included on your CoC's Priority Listings, which could result in your CoC losing funding. HUD lacks the authority to fund projects unless they are included on the Priority Listings, which informs HUD which projects your CoC is accepting.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Comp Type	Grant Term	Funding Type	Accepted?
This list contains no items							

Project Priority List FY2024	Page 10	10/18/2024

## **Funding Summary**

#### Instructions

This page provides the total budget summaries for each of the project listings after you approved and ranked or rejected new and renewal project applications. You must review this page to ensure the totals for each of the categories is accurate.

The "Total CoC Request" indicates the total funding request amount your CoC will submit to HUD for funding consideration. As stated previously, only 1 UFA Cost project application (for UFA designated Collaborative Applicants only) and only 1 CoC Planning project application can be submitted and only the Collaborative Applicant designated by the CoC is eligible to request these funds.

Title	Total Amount
CoC Renewal Amount	\$1,289,587
New CoC Bonus and CoC Reallocation Amount	\$132,882
New DV Bonus Amount	\$213,820
New DV Reallocation Amount	\$0
CoC Planning Amount	\$71,273
YHDP Renewal and Replacement Amount	\$0
YHDP Reallocation Amount	
Rejected Amount	\$0
TOTAL CoC REQUEST	\$1,707,562

## **Attachments**

Document Type	Required?	Document Description	Date Attached
Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan (HUD- 2991)	Yes	HUD 2991	10/15/2024
Other	No		
Other	No		
Project Rating and Ranking Tool (optional)	No	Local Competition	10/15/2024

### **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:** HUD 2991

### **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:** 

### **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:** 

### **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:** Local Competition Scoring Tool

## **Submission Summary**

WARNING: The FY 2024 - FY 2025 CoC Consolidated Application requires submissions of CoC Priority Listings AND the CoC Application.

As stated in the FY 2024 - FY 2025 CoC and YHDP Program NOFO, for FY 2024 funding, CoCs must submit the FY 2024 - 2025 CoC Application and the FY 2024 Priority Listing by the FY 2024 Application Submission Deadline.

WARNING: The FY 2024 - FY 2025 CoC Consolidated Application requires submissions of CoC Priority Listings AND the CoC Application.

As stated in the FY 2024 - FY 2025 CoC and YHDP Program NOFO, for FY 2024 funding, CoCs must submit the FY 2024 - 2025 CoC Application and the FY 2024 Priority Listing by the FY 2024 Application Submission Deadline.

Page	Last Updated
Before Starting	No Input Required
1A. Identification	10/03/2024
2. Reallocation	10/03/2024
5A. CoC New Project Listing	10/11/2024
5B. CoC Renewal Project Listing	10/15/2024
5D. CoC Planning Project Listing	10/18/2024
5E. YHDP Renewal Project Listing	No Input Required

Project Priority List FY2024	Page 14	10/18/2024

No Input Required

5F. YHDP Replacement and YHDP Reallocation

Project Listing

Funding Summary

No Input Required

Attachments 10/15/2024

Submission Summary No Input Required

Date: October 15, 2024

#### Certification of Consistency Plan with the Consolidated Plan for the Continuum of Care Program Competition

I certify the proposed activities included in the Continuum of Care (CoC) project application(s) is consistent with the jurisdiction's currently approved Consolidated Plan.

Applicant Name: City of Springfield Missouri

Project Name: MO-600 FY 2024 Planning Grant

Location of the Project: 840 N Boonville Avenue, Springfield, MO 65802

Name of Certifying Jurisdiction: City of Springfield Missouri

Certifying Official of the Jurisdiction Name: Bob Jones

Title: Grants Administrator

Signature:

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Privacy Act Statement. This form does not collect SSN information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by 5. 896 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.).

Certification of Consistency Plan with the Consolidated Plan for the Continuum of Care Program Competition

I certify the proposed activities included in the Continuum of Care (CoC) project application(s) is consistent with the jurisdiction's currently approved Consolidated Plan.

Applicant Name: Family Violence Center (Harmony House)
Project Name: Rapid Rehousing FY 2024-DV Bonus Project
Location of the Project: 2404 E Ridgeview Street, Springfield, MO 65804-Springfield/Green, Christian, Webster Counties
Name of Certifying Jurisdiction: City of Springfield Missouri
Certifying Official of the Jurisdiction Name: Bob Jones
Title: Grants Administrator
Signature:
Date: October 15, 2024

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Privacy Act Statement. This form does not collect SSN information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by S. 896 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.).

Certification of Consistency Plan with the Consolidated Plan for the Continuum of Care Program Competition

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Privacy Act Statement. This form does not collect SSN information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by S. 896 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.).

Certification of Consistency Plan with the Consolidated Plan for the Continuum of Care Program Competition

Lertify the proposed activities included in the Continuum of Care (CoC) project application(s) is consistent with the jurisdiction's currently approved Consolidated Plan.

Applicant Name: Missouri Department of Mental Health
Project Name: 2024-SCG Shelter Plus Care
Location of the Project: 1706 E Elm Street, Jefferson City, MO 65101-Springfield/Green, Christian, Webster Counties
Name of Certifying Jurisdiction: City of Springfield Missouri
Certifying Official of the Jurisdiction Name: Bob Jones
Title: Grants Administrator
Signature: Sub Mar
Date: October 15, 2024

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

**Privacy Act Statement.** This form does not collect SSN information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by S. 896 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.).

Date: October 15, 2024

#### Certification of Consistency Plan with the Consolidated Plan for the Continuum of Care Program Competition

consistent with the jurisdiction's currently approved Consolidated Plan.

Applicant Name: The Kitchen, Inc.

Project Name: TKI RRH Families

Location of the Project: 730 N. Glenstone Avenue, Springfield, MO 65802

Name of
Certifying Jurisdiction: City of Springfield Missouri

Certifying Official of the Jurisdiction Name: Bob Jones

Title: Grants Administrator

Signature:

I certify the proposed activities included in the Continuum of Care (CoC) project application(s) is

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Privacy Act Statement. This form does not collect SSN information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by S. 895 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.).

Certification of Consistency Plan with the Consolidated Plan for the Continuum of Care Program Competition

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Privacy Act Statement. This form does not collect SSN information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by S. 896 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.).

Certification of Consistency Plan with the Consolidated Plan for the Continuum of Care Program Competition

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Privacy Act Statement. This form does not collect SSN information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by S. 895 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.).

Certification of Consistency Plan with the Consolidated Plan for the Continuum of Care Program Competition

I certify the proposed activities included in the Continuum of Care (CoC) project application(s) is consistent with the jurisdiction's currently approved Consolidated Plan.

Applicant Name: Foster Adopt Connect
Project Name: FAC YCC TH/PH-RRH Project24-25
Location of the Project: 509 S. Cavalier Avenue, Springfield, MO 65802
Name of Certifying Jurisdiction: City of Springfield Missouri
Certifying Official of the Jurisdiction Name: Bob Jones
Title: Grants Administrator
Signature:
Date: October 15, 2024

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Privacy Act Statement. This form does not collect SSN information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by S. 896 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.).

Certification of Consistency Plan with the Consolidated Plan for the Continuum of Care Program Competition

I certify the proposed activities included in the Continuum of Care (CoC) project application(s) is consistent with the jurisdiction's currently approved Consolidated Plan.

Applicant Name: Southwest Center for independent Living (empower abilities)
Project Name: FY 2024 CoC Program New Project
Location of the Project: 1450 W. Cambridge Street, Springfield, MO 65807
Name of Certifying Jurisdiction: <u>City of Springfield Missouri</u>
Certifying Official of the Jurisdiction Name: <u>Bob Jones</u>
Title: Grants Administrator
Signature: St. Mar.
Date: October 15, 2024

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Privacy Act Statement. This form does not collect SSN information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by S. 896 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.).

Certification of Consistency Plan with the Consolidated Plan for the Continuum of Care Program Competition

I certify the proposed activities included in the Continuum of Care (CoC) project application(s) is consistent with the jurisdiction's currently approved Consolidated Plan.

Applicant Name: Institute for Community Alliances

Project Name: MO-600 Springfield HMIS Project

Location of the Project: PO Box 1233, Sedalia, MO 65302 -Springfield/Greene, Christian, & Webster Counties

Name of Certifying Jurisdiction: City of Springfield Missouri

Certifying Official of the Jurisdiction Name: Bob Jones

Title: Grants Administrator

Signature: MO-600 Springfield Missouri

Date: October 15, 2024

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

**Privacy Act Statement.** This form does not collect SSN information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by S. 896 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.).

Certification of Consistency Plan with the Consolidated Plan for the Continuum of Care Program Competition

I certify the proposed activities included in the Continuum of Care (CoC) project application(s) is consistent with the jurisdiction's currently approved Consolidated Plan.

Applicant Name: Catholic Charities of Southern Missouri
Project Name: HUD CoC-2024 MO-600
Location of the Project: 424 E Monastery Street, Springfield, MO 65807-Springfield/Green, Christian, Webster Countie
Name of Certifying Jurisdiction: City of Springfield Missouri
Certifying Official of the Jurisdiction Name: Bob Jones
Title: Grants Administrator
Signature:
Date: October 15, 2024

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Privacy Act Statement. This form does not collect SSN Information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by S. 896 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.).

Approved By: OAEH Executive Board Effective Date: February 15, 2024

Prepared By: OAEH Rank & Review Committee

**Policy:** This policy is adopted under the authority of the local Continuum of

Care (CoC) for Springfield/Greene, Christian, and Webster Counties, commonly referred to as the Ozarks Alliance to End Homelessness

(OAEH).

**Purpose:** Design, operate, and follow a collaborative and public process for the

solicitation, development, and approval of CoC Program applications for submission in response to the CoC Program NOFO (Notice of Funding Opportunity) published by the U.S Department of Housing and Urban

Development (HUD).

**Standard:** The OAEH is responsible for promoting the community-wide

commitment to the goal of ending homelessness through strategic planning, system change, program development, and performance-based

prioritization of funds.

Scope: Homeless Provider Agencies, Community Stakeholders, Recipient(s) and

Subrecipient(s) of CoC Program funds, the HMIS Lead, and the CoC

Collaborative Applicant.

Authority For Code: Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing

(HEARTH) Act CoC Program regulatory statutes 24 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) parts 578.9, 578.11, 578.13, 578.15, 578.17, 578.19,

578.21 and other such parts as applicable.

#### **Responsibilities:**

#### 1. HOUSING AND SUPPORTIVE SERVICES NETWORK (OAEH) - THE COC

As outlined in the CoC Governance Charter, the OAEH is to provide a coordinated and comprehensive community planning process to implement a Continuum of Care (CoC) for individuals and families who are at-risk or experiencing homelessness and to prevent returns to homelessness.

A role administered by the OAEH is preparation of the CoC Program grant application on behalf of MO-600 CoC Springfield/Greene, Christian, Webster Counties, Missouri. This policy outlines the process and criteria in ranking and rating renewal and new project applications requesting funds under the CoC Program 24 CFR Part 578.

#### 2. PREPARING THE COC PROGRAM GRANT APPLICATION

OAEH (the CoC)

The OAEH is charged with designing, operating, and following a collaborative process for the development of applications, and approving the submission of applications in response to a NOFO published by HUD under part 578.19.

OAEH will establish priorities for funding projects in the geographic area of MO-600 CoC.

On behalf of the City of Springfield, the CoC Collaborative Applicant will collect, combine, and submit the required application information from all applicants and for all projects within the CoC's geographic area that the CoC has selected for funding. The CoC Collaborative Applicant will also apply for CoC Planning funds.

To be eligible, all agencies applying for CoC funding need to have an active SAM registration, UEI, and no current debarments and/or suspensions.

#### 3. REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL AND RECORDKEEPING

CoC Collaborative Applicant

The CoC Collaborative Applicant will prepare and publish a Request for Letters of Intent (LOI) to Submit; LOI Request will include timeline for required trainings (as needed), due date of applications, date of ranking/rating, and scoring criteria for projects. The LOI Request will be a public announcement using email and posted on the CoC Collaborative Applicant website.

The CoC Collaborative Applicant will convene the CoC Executive Board-designated NOFO Committee to complete the rating and ranking of all applications submitted and approve the final Project Priority List in the CoC Consolidated Application. The NOFO Committee will review all new and renewal applications to validate the summary of scores prior to developing the Project Priority List. The CoC Collaborative Applicant will follow all HUD guidelines for public posting and notification.

Records supporting the grant application process will be retained for five (5) years following the HUD grant award announcement and will include the actual project application, the Project Rating Tool results, a summary of all project application scores, ranking/rating results, and letters or other communication regarding acceptance or rejection of project applications.

#### 4. CODE OF CONDUCT AND RECUSAL PROCESS

The implementation of a Code of Conduct for the OAEH, inclusive of the Chair, Co-Chair, Workgroup, and associated Subcommittees, is an essential element that supports the inclusive, collaborative, and objective goals of the OAEH. [CoC Program 24 CFR 578.95] See Appendix A for OAEH Code of Conduct, as referenced in the OAEH Governance Charter.

#### 5. RENEWAL/EXPANSION RATING AND RANKING PROCESS

#### **OAEH NOFO Committee**

The Committee is responsible for:

- a) Review Annual Performance Report (APR) outcomes for all CoC Program projects.
- b) Establish priorities for funding projects in alignment with the OAEH review of latest PIT/HIC reporting on homeless demographics and housing gaps analysis. The CoC will determine priority of projects per application year.
- c) Review projects with non-compliance and/or outstanding on-site monitoring issues and consider reallocation of project funds in accordance with OAEH Reallocation Policy.
- d) Review renewal project applications to ensure threshold requirements have been met. The CoC Collaborative Applicant will provide data and reporting to complete the Renewal/Expansion Project Rating Tool.
- e) Review expansion project applications that seek to expand existing projects to ensure threshold requirements have been met.
- f) Project applications meeting threshold requirements will be rated on performance criteria that establish benchmark outcomes to drive system-level performance outcomes. The scoring points are full points for meeting threshold review and/or achieving the performance measurement outcome, with partial or zero points for some measurements where the project may not have the ability to fully drive the outcome.
- g) Rank projects in order of priority for funding, with the highest priority project being #1 and the lowest priority project for funding being the last number in the CoC Program Project Priority List.
- h) Approve the final project applications for submittal in the CoC Consolidated Plan.
- i) Authorize the CoC Collaborative Applicant to notify in writing Applicants/Subrecipient Agencies on decision to "accept" or "reject" project applications.

For template of the scoring tool, see Appendix B, Renewal/Expansion Project Rating Tool.

#### 6. FIRST YEAR RENEWAL RATING AND RANKING PROCESS

#### **OAEH NOFO Committee**

The Committee is responsible for:

- a) Reviewing all First Year Renewal Programs, to be placed in Tier 1 if benchmarks are reached as noted in the First Year Renewal Project Rating Tool.
- b) Establish priorities for funding projects in alignment with the OAEH review of latest PIT/HIC reporting on homeless demographics and housing gaps analysis. The CoC will determine priority of projects per application year.
- c) Review renewal project applications to ensure threshold requirements have been met. The CoC Collaborative Applicant will provide data and reporting to complete the First Year Renewal Project Rating Tool.
- d) Project applications meeting threshold requirements will be rated on performance criteria that establish benchmark outcomes to drive system-level performance outcomes.
- e) Rank projects in order of priority for funding, with the highest priority project being #1 and the lowest priority project for funding being the last number in the CoC Program Project Priority List.
- f) Approve the final project applications for submittal in the CoC Consolidated Plan.
- g) Authorize the CoC Collaborative Applicant to notify in writing Applicants/Subrecipient Agencies on decision to "accept" or "reject" project applications.

For template of the scoring tool, see Appendix C, First Year Renewal Project Rating Tool.

#### 7. NEW PROJECT RATING AND RANKING PROCESS

#### **OAEH NOFO Committee**

- a) Review new project applications to ensure threshold requirements have been met. The CoC will determine priority of projects per application year.
- b) Score capacity of Applicant/Subrecipient Agency to carry-out the proposed HUD- project, using approved new project scoring tool.
- c) Rank projects in order of priority for funding, with the highest priority project being #1 and the lowest priority project for funding being the last number in the CoC Program Project Priority List.
- d) Approve the final project applications for submittal in the CoC Consolidated Plan.
- e) Authorize the CoC Collaborative Applicant to notify in writing Applicants/Subrecipient Agencies on decision to "accept" or "reject" project applications.

For template of the scoring tool, see Appendix D, New Project Rating Tool

#### 8. REALLOCATION PROCESS

#### **OAEH NOFO Committee**

Reallocation, as outlined in the OAEH Reallocation Policy, is the process the CoC uses to shift funds to create one or more new projects or expand existing projects within the annual renewal demand (ARD) for CoC Program funds. Providers are encouraged to apply for new projects through reallocation of existing project funds.

The CoC will determine priority of projects per application year. During the comprehensive review of renewal projects, the NOFO Committee will use the scoring criteria and selection priorities to determine the extent to which each project is still necessary and addresses priorities based on System Performance Measurement (SPM) outcomes, housing gaps analysis, and homeless demographic data collected during Point-in-Time and from CoC-validated community data. The NOFO Committee may recommend reallocation of funds to the CoC Collaborative Applicant when reallocations would reduce homelessness, address an underserved homeless population, or in the event of low-performing projects.

See Appendix E, OAEH Reallocation Policy.

#### 9. PROJECT RANKING PROCESS AND PROJECT PRIORITY LISTING

#### **OAEH NOFO Committee**

The NOFO Committee is responsible for:

- a) Review proposed project scores.
- b) Rank projects in order of priority for funding, with the highest priority project being #1 and the lowest priority project for funding being the last number in the CoC Program Project Priority List.
- c) Approve the final project applications for submittal in the CoC Consolidated Application.
- d) Authorize the CoC Collaborative Applicant to notify in writing each agency of Final Project Application Acceptance, Reductions, Rejections, and Rankings, with accepted applications invited to submit in e-snaps.
- e) Publish rankings as dictated by HUD CoC NOFO timeline and requirements.
- f) Present Consolidated Application for CoC Approval at OAEH Executive Board Meeting.

Supportive Services Projects - The CoC prioritizes beds; therefore, all new Supportive Service Only (SSO) projects, including Coordinated Entry and HMIS, are to be ranked in Tier 2, unless determined to be a CoC priority approved by the OAEH Executive Board.

Safe Haven Projects – New Safe Haven (SH) projects are not currently eligible for HUD CoC funding. In the event they become eligible, SH projects are to be ranked in Tier 2.

#### 10. APPEAL PROCESS

The project sponsor agency (recipient/subrecipient) may bring forward concerns to the OAEH NOFO Committee regarding the written decision for selection. Concerns will be reviewed on a case-by-case basis by OAEH NOFO Committee.

- a) The project sponsor agency will submit to the OAEH NOFO Committee a written appeal within five business days of the ranking decision notification. The appeal will include supporting information as to why the ranking decision should be reconsidered.
- b) The OAEH NOFO Committee will reconvene to receive and review the appeal statement.
- c) The project sponsor agency will attend the meeting to answer questions the OAEH NOFO Committee may have in reviewing the appeal.
- d) The OAEH NOFO Committee will make a decision, which will be sent to the applicant in writing. Further appeals, if needed following the decision of the OAEH NOFO Committee, should be made to the CoC Lead Applicant. Following resolution, the CoC Collaborative Applicant will proceed with filing the CoC Program application in accordance with this policy and the determination of the OAEH NOFO Committee and/or CoC Lead Applicant.

#### 11. GRANT AWARD

#### **CoC Collaborative Applicant Notification**

Upon HUD award announcement, the CoC Collaborative Applicant will notify selected applicants of the pending award. HUD will issue grant agreements in accordance with 24 CFR part 578.23.

#### **Project Implementation**

Technical assistance for project implementation will be provided by HUD.

#### **APPENDICES**

Appendix A: OAEH Code of Conduct and Recusal

Appendix B: Renewal/Expansion Project Rating Tool

Appendix E: First Year Renewal Rating Tool

Appendix D: New Project Rating Tool Appendix E: OAEH Reallocation Policy

#### APPENDIX A

#### **OAEH Code of Conduct and Recusal**

In accordance with HUD regulations, no member may participate in or influence discussions or resulting decisions concerning the award of a grant or other financial benefits to the organization that the member represents. Therefore, any individual participating in or influencing decision-making must identify actual or perceived conflicts of interest as they arise and comply with the spirit of this policy. Individuals with a conflict of interest should abstain from discussion and abstain from voting on any issue in which they have a conflict. An individual with a conflict of interest, who is also the committee chair, shall yield that position during discussion and abstain from voting on the item.

Written conflict of interest disclosure statements will be provided by each member annually or upon membership approval. This form must be updated on a yearly basis, and members will not be able to vote until the statement is on file. All voting members shall have the right to recuse themselves from voting on a matter without providing an excuse.

#### APPENDIX B

### RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Performance-based project rating and ranking in support of the CoC System Performance Outcomes

	<del>-</del>	Of Participants (Q5a): Of Households (Q8a):	
	Project Name:		
	Project Type:		
Pro	oject Type = TH for Transitional Housing; SH for Safe Haven; RRH for Rapid Rehous	sing; and PSH for Permanent Supportive Housing	
Appli	icant Name:		
Subre	ecipient Agency Name:		
CoC	Program Funding Request:	Total Project Score (52 points):	
Previ	ious CoC Awarded Amount:		<del></del>
APPLICAT	TION NARRATIVE RATING		15 POINTS
Α.	Project participates in the CoC HMIS and CES, compliant with CoC P Entry Notice (Yes = 3 points, No = 0 points)	olicies and Procedures and HUD Coordinated	
В.	Project agency attended 75% or more of quarterly OAEH General M (Yes = 3 points, No = 0 points)	embership meetings during the last calendar year	
C.	Project implements Housing First principles, including no precorby funding sources, and provision of necessary supports to main homelessness (Yes = 3 points, No = 0 points)	, , ,	
D.	Project addresses the unique needs of underserved and marginalize participants and ensuring program staff and other personnel are we initiatives and components including, but not limited to, communicative integration, and partnerships developed and implemented to further	ell-equipped to deliver such services. Describe ation/marketing, staff training, lived experience	
E.	Project aligns with priorities identified in the CoC's OAEH Strateg  (1.5 points)		
F.	Project aligns with the federal priorities identified in this NOFO and End Homelessness for 2022 to 2025 (1.5 points)	ALL IN: The Federal Strategic Plan to Prevent and	
Provide ar	n executive summary of any barriers and/or challenges the project face	d in the last year. (This summary required but not sc	ored)
APPLICAN	NT AND SUBRECIPIENT AGENCY (IF ANY) FINANCIAL RATING		10 POINTS
G.	Applicant is effectively utilizing HUD CoC funds. Includes satisfacto	ry drawdowns and performance for existing HID	
u.	CoC grant as evidenced by CoC quarterly monitoring throughout th drawdown, 1.5 points for performance) No = 0 points)		

H.	Acceptable HUD audit of Applicant and Subrecipient (if any) since the last NOFO cycle. Audit does not contain indications of material, performance, financial, or accounting problems	
	Audit Not Applicable = 3 points  Yes, Audit was Conducted, No Findings = 3 points  Yes, Audit was Conducted, Corrective Action Plan was Submitted and/or Approved = 3 points  Yes, Audit was Conducted but Corrective Action Plan was not Submitted by Deadline = 0 points	
I.	Applicant and Subrecipient (if any) has 25% match commitments that satisfy CoC Program Rule requirements for source and amount (Yes = 2 points, No = 0 points)	

PROJEC	T PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT OUTCOMES (RENEWAL)	27 POINTS
	Reduce Length of Time Homeless (4 points)	
J.	RRH: On average participants spend 45 days or less from Project Start to Housing Move-In date (APR Q22c)	
	4 points = 45 or less days	
	<b>3 points</b> = 46 – 53 days	
	<b>2 points</b> = 54 – 65 days	
	1 point = 66 or more days	
	PSH: On average participants spend 80 days or less from Project Start to Housing Move-In date (APR Q22c)	
	4 points = 80 or less days	
	<b>3 points</b> = 81 – 90 days	
	<b>2 points</b> = 91 – 100 days	
	1 point = 101 or more days	
K.	Reduce Returns to Homelessness (4 points)	
	RRH, PSH: Percent of participants return to homelessness within 24 months of exit to PH (SPM 2 – Run in HMIS)	
	<b>4 points</b> = up to 3%	
	3 points = 4-5%	
	<b>2 points</b> = 6%	
	<b>1 point</b> = 7% or above	
L.	Increased Earned Income: Adults Increase from Start to Latest Status/Annual Assessment (Stayers) (1 point)	
	RRH: Minimum 25% of participants with new or increased earned income (APR Q19a1)	
	PSH: Minimum 25% of participants with new or increased earned income (APR Q19a1)	
M.	Increased Earned Income: Adults Increase from Start to Latest Status/Annual Assessment (Leavers) (1 point)	
	RRH: Minimum 25% of participants with new or increased earned income (APR Q19a2)	
	PSH: Minimum 25% of participants with new or increased earned income (APR Q19a2)	
N.	Increased Earned Income: Change in Adults Earned Income During the Reporting Period (2 points)	
	RRH: Minimum 25% of participants with new or increased earned income (HMIS Monitoring Report)	
	PSH: Minimum 25% of participants with new or increased earned income (HMIS Monitoring Report)	
0.	Increased Any Income: Adults Increase from Start to Latest Status/Annual Assessment (Stayers) (1 point)	
	RRH: Minimum 25% of participants with new or increased any income (APR Q19a1)	
	PSH: Minimum 25% of participants with new or increased any income (APR Q19a1)	
Р.	Increased Any Income: Adults Increase from Start to Latest Status/Annual Assessment (Leavers) (1 point)	
	RRH: Minimum 25% of participants with new or increased any income (APR Q19a2)	
	PSH: Minimum 25% of participants with new or increased any income (APR Q19a2)	
Q.	Increased Non-Cash Benefits: Change in Adults Non-Cash Benefits During the Reporting Period (2 points)	
	RRH: Minimum 25% of participants with new or increased any income (HMIS Monitoring Report)	
	PSH: Minimum 25% of participants with new or increased any income (HMIS Monitoring Report)	

R.	Increase Access to Permanent Housing (4 points)	
	RRH: Percent of people exit program to permanent housing (Q23c PH Dest / Q5a Leavers)	
	4 points = 80% and above	
	<b>3 points</b> = 65% - 79%	
	2 points = 50% - 64%	
	1 point = 35% - 49%	
	<b>0 points</b> = 34% and below	
	PSH: Percent of people retain PSH or exit to other permanent housing (Q23c PH Dest + Q5a Stayers / Q5a Total	
	Persons Served)	
	4 points = 95% and above	
	<b>3 points</b> = 80% - 94%	
	<b>2 points</b> = 65% - 79%	
	<b>1 point</b> = 50% - 64%	
	<b>0 points</b> = 49% and below	
S.	HMIS Data Quality: Timeliness (2 points)	
	75% of data entered within 0 to 3 days of project start date (ICA Custom Report)	
Т.	De-obligation 10% or more of HUD funds	
	Recent project grant term 10% or more of total HUD funds recaptured by HUD at grant term	
	10%-25% = minus 1 point	
	26%-50% = minus 2 points	
	51% or more = minus 3 points	
U.	Annual CoC Monitoring Score (2 point)	
	No Concerns or Concerns and/or Findings resolved within 30 days of monitoring results notification	
V.	CES Compliance and Participation: 1.5 pts each = 3 points total	
	RRH, PSH: All referrals come from Coordinated Entry System (1.5 pts)	
	RRH, PSH: Program is represented at CoC Case Conferencing in accordance with OAEH CES Policies &	
	Procedures at a rate of at least 75% per quarter (1.5 pts)	

#### APPENDIX C

C.

D.

E.

F.

G.

#### FIRST TIME RENEWAL RATING TOOL

Performance-based project rating and ranking in support of the CoC System Performance Outcomes

	C Ranking Date: rformance Period:	# Of Participants (Q5a): # Of Households (Q8a):	
	Project Name:		
	Project Type:		
Р	roject Type = TH for Transitional Housing; SH for Safe Haven; RRF	H for Rapid Rehousing; and PSH for Permanent Supportive Housing	
	ll First-Year Renewal Projects can be placed into Tier ${\bf 1}$ if at least 7 or ection is not included in the benchmarks rating but is required if the	ut of 10 benchmarks are reached in the Narrative and Financial Section. The Perfor project has enough implementation data at the time of scoring.	rmance
Арр	olicant Name:		
Sub	recipient Agency Name:		
CoC	Program Funding Request:		
Pre	vious CoC Awarded Amount:		
APPLICA	ATION NARRATIVE RATING		8 Yes Possil
Α.	Project participates in the CoC HMIS and CES, comp Notice (Yes or No)	liant with CoC Policies and Procedures and HUD Coordinated Entry	
В.	Project agency attended 75% or more of quarterly ( (Yes or No)	DAEH General Membership meetings during the last calendar year	
C.		iding no preconditions or barriers to entry except as required upports to maintain housing and prevent a return to	

Provide an executive summary of any barriers and/or challenges the project faced in the last year. (This summary required but not scored)

RRH, PSH: Program is represented at CoC Case Conferencing in accordance with OAEH CES Policies &

Project addresses the unique needs of underserved and marginalized populations by offering relevant services to participants and ensuring program staff and other personnel are well-equipped to deliver such services. Describe initiatives and components including, but not limited to, communication/marketing, staff training, lived experience

Project aligns with the federal priorities identified in this NOFO and ALL IN: The Federal Strategic Plan to Prevent and

Project aligns with priorities identified in the CoC's OAEH Strategic Plan and OAEH Strategic Action Plan

integration, and partnerships developed and implemented to further these efforts (Yes or No)

RRH, PSH: All referrals come from Coordinated Entry System (Yes or No)

Procedures at a rate of at least 75% per quarter (Yes or No)

End Homelessness for 2022 to 2025 (Yes or No)

**CES Compliance and Participation:** 

homelessness (Yes or No)

Provide narrative around when contract was executed and when eLOCCS access was granted. (This is required but not scored)

APPLICANT	AND SUBRECIPIENT AGENCY (IF ANY) FINANCIAL RATING	2 Yes Possible
Н.	Applicant is effectively utilizing HUD CoC funds. Includes satisfactory drawdowns and performance for existing HUD CoC grant as evidenced by CoC quarterly monitoring throughout the grant term (Yes both = 3 points (1.5 points for drawdown, 1.5 points for performance) No = 0 points)	
1.	Applicant and Subrecipient (if any) has 25% match commitments that satisfy CoC Program Rule requirements for source and amount <b>(Yes or No)</b>	

Reduce Length of Time Homeless (4 points)
RRH: On average participants spend 45 days or less from Project Start to Housing Move-In date (APR Q22c)
4 points = 45 or less days
<b>3 points</b> = 46 – 53 days
<b>2 points</b> = 54 – 65 days
1 point = 66 or more days
PSH: On average participants spend 80 days or less from Project Start to Housing Move-In date (APR Q22c)
4 points = 80 or less days
<b>3 points</b> = 81 – 90 days
<b>2 points</b> = 91 – 100 days
1 point = 101 or more days
Increased Earned Income: Adults Increase from Start to Latest Status/Annual Assessment (Stayer) (1 point)
RRH: Minimum 25% of participants with new or increased earned income (APR Q19a1)
PSH: Minimum 25% of participants with new or increased earned income (APR Q19a1)
Increased Earned Income: Adults Increase from Start to Latest Status/Annual Assessment (Leaver) (1 point)
RRH: Minimum 25% of participants with new or increased earned income (APR Q19a2)
PSH: Minimum 25% of participants with new or increased earned income (APR Q19a2)
Increased Earned Income: Change in Adults Earned Income During the Reporting Period (2 points)
RRH: Minimum 25% of participants with new or increased earned income (HMIS Monitoring Report)
PSH: Minimum 25% of participants with new or increased earned income (HMIS Monitoring Report)
Increased Any Income: Adults Increase from Start to Latest Status/Annual Assessment (Stayer) (1 point)
RRH: Minimum 25% of participants with new or increased any income (APR Q19a1)
PSH: Minimum 25% of participants with new or increased any income (APR Q19a1)
Increased Any Income: Adults Increase from Start to Latest Status/Annual Assessment (Leaver) (1 point)
RRH: Minimum 25% of participants with new or increased any income (APR Q19a2)
PSH: Minimum 25% of participants with new or increased any income (APR Q19a2)
Increased Non-Cash Benefits: Change in Adults Non-Cash Benefits During the Reporting Period (2 points)
RRH: Minimum 25% of participants with new or increased any income (HMIS Monitoring Report)
PSH: Minimum 25% of participants with new or increased any income (HMIS Monitoring Report)
Fund Utilization: Program Implementation on Track to Expend Funds by End of Grant Year (4 points)
% expended based on pro-ration of program year progress (Yes = 2 points) (No = 0 points)
Request For Funds made in first 3 months of implementation (Yes = 2 points) (No = 0 points)

S.	HMIS Data Quality: Timeliness per HMIS Agency Agreement (2 points) 75% of data entered within 0 to 3 days of project start date (ICA Custom Report)	
T.	HMIS Data Quality: Complete and Accurate (2 points)	
	Null/missing below 2% on all HMIS data elements - APR 6a, 6b, 6c, 6d	

#### APPENDIX D

#### NEW PROJECT RATING TOOL

Performance-based project rating and ranking in support of the CoC System Performance Outcomes

CoC Ranking Date:	# Of Participants:
Performance Period:	# Of Households:
Project Name:	
Project Type:	<del></del>
Project Type = TH for Transitional Housing; SH for Safe Haven; RRH for Rapid Re	housing; and PSH for Permanent Supportive Housing
Applicant Name:	
Subrecipient Agency Name:	
CoC Program Funding Request:	Total Project Score (50 points):
Tota	Project Score (w/Acg/Rehab/Con) (58 points):

APPLICATION NARRATIVE RATING **18 POINTS** Project describes experience or intent to participate in the CoC HMIS and Coordinated Entry System compliant with CoC Policies and Procedures and HUD Coordinated Entry Notice (Yes = 3 points, No = 0 points) A. В. Project agency attended 75% or more of quarterly OAEH General Membership meetings during the last calendar year (Yes = 3 points, No = 0 points) C. Project describes experience with or intent to implement Housing First principles, including no preconditions or barriers to entry except as required by funding sources, and provision of necessary supports to maintain housing and prevent a return to homelessness (Yes = 3 points, No = 0 points) D. Project addresses the unique needs of underserved and marginalized populations by offering relevant services to participants and ensuring program staff and other personnel are well-equipped to deliver such services. Describe initiatives and components including, but not limited to, communication/marketing, staff training, lived experience integration, and partnerships developed and implemented to further these efforts (Yes = 3 points, No = 0 points) Project aligns with priorities identified in the CoC's OAEH Strategic Plan and OAEH Strategic Action Plan E. (1.5 points) Project aligns with the federal priorities identified in this NOFA and ALL IN: The Federal Strategic Plan to Prevent and F. End Homelessness for 2022 to 2025. (1.5 points) Applicant and Subrecipient (if any) describes rapid implementation of the project to begin housing the first G. participant in 180 days or less following HUD grant award (Yes=2 points, No=0 points) Describe the financial capacity to support project's long-term sustainability (1 point) Н.

	NT AND SUBRECIPIENT AGENCY (IF ANY) ACQ. /REHAB. /NEW CONS. (IF APPLICABLE)	8 POINTS
I.	Describe Applicant and Colors initiate (if any) detailed any terror about a good and is also any late by date	
1.	Describe Applicant and Subrecipient's (if any) detailed construction schedule and project's complete budget containing the project milestones, activities, other funding sources, and deliverables. (2 points)	
	containing the project innestones, activities, other funding sources, and deliverables. (2 points)	
J.	Applicant and Subrecipient (if any) indicates site control measures that have been taken regarding the property that	
	will become the site. (2 points)	
K.	Are all current zoning and property ownership requirements met for the proposed project?	
	Yes, includes a location map with appropriate zoning for project and proof of ownership (2 points)	
	No, but has described what steps will be taken to ensure compliance with zoning requirements and property	
	ownership (1 point)	
	No, Applicant has not provided information on zoning compliance or property ownership (0 points)	
L.	Applicant and Subrecipient (if any) have indicated the total number of units that will be added to the local	
	Continuum of Care system upon the completion of the acquisition, rehabilitation, or new construction. (2 points)	
APPLICA	NT AND SUBRECIPIENT AGENCY (IF ANY) FINANCIAL RATING	9 POINTS
M.	Describe Applicant and Subrecipient (if any) experience in effectively utilizing federal funds in	
	accordance with 2 CFR 200, to include HUD grants and other funding (2 points)	
N.	Acceptable HUD audit of Applicant and Subrecipient (if any) since the last NOFO cycle. Audit does not contain	
	indications of material, performance, financial, or accounting problems	
	Audit Not Applicable = 2 points	
	Audit Not Applicable = <b>2 points</b> Yes, Audit was Conducted, No Findings = <b>2 points</b>	
	Yes, Audit was Conducted, No Findings = 2 points	
О.	Yes, Audit was Conducted, No Findings = 2 points Yes, Audit was Conducted, Corrective Action Plan was Submitted and/or Approved = 2 points Yes, Audit was Conducted but Corrective Action Plan was not Submitted by Deadline = 0 points Applicant or Subrecipient (if any) provides 25% match commitment that satisfies CoC Program Rule 24 CFR Part	
О.	Yes, Audit was Conducted, No Findings = 2 points Yes, Audit was Conducted, Corrective Action Plan was Submitted and/or Approved = 2 points Yes, Audit was Conducted but Corrective Action Plan was not Submitted by Deadline = 0 points	
	Yes, Audit was Conducted, No Findings = 2 points Yes, Audit was Conducted, Corrective Action Plan was Submitted and/or Approved = 2 points Yes, Audit was Conducted but Corrective Action Plan was not Submitted by Deadline = 0 points Applicant or Subrecipient (if any) provides 25% match commitment that satisfies CoC Program Rule 24 CFR Part	
O. P.	Yes, Audit was Conducted, No Findings = 2 points Yes, Audit was Conducted, Corrective Action Plan was Submitted and/or Approved = 2 points Yes, Audit was Conducted but Corrective Action Plan was not Submitted by Deadline = 0 points Applicant or Subrecipient (if any) provides 25% match commitment that satisfies CoC Program Rule 24 CFR Part 578.73 requirement that includes source and amount (2 points)	
	Yes, Audit was Conducted, No Findings = 2 points Yes, Audit was Conducted, Corrective Action Plan was Submitted and/or Approved = 2 points Yes, Audit was Conducted but Corrective Action Plan was not Submitted by Deadline = 0 points Applicant or Subrecipient (if any) provides 25% match commitment that satisfies CoC Program Rule 24 CFR Part 578.73 requirement that includes source and amount (2 points)  Budget costs are reasonable and allowable. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type (2 points)	
	Yes, Audit was Conducted, No Findings = 2 points Yes, Audit was Conducted, Corrective Action Plan was Submitted and/or Approved = 2 points Yes, Audit was Conducted but Corrective Action Plan was not Submitted by Deadline = 0 points Applicant or Subrecipient (if any) provides 25% match commitment that satisfies CoC Program Rule 24 CFR Part 578.73 requirement that includes source and amount (2 points)  Budget costs are reasonable and allowable. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is	

PROJEC	CT DESIGN OF HOUSING AND SUPPORTIVE SERVICES	23 POINTS
R.	Severity of Needs (0-2 points)	
	Applicant and Subrecipient (if any) demonstrate how the project will assist underserved populations to include persons with a history of victimization such as domestic violence, sexual assault; criminal histories; substance use disorders; mental health; or chronic homelessness	
S.	Housing Emphasis (0-2 points)	
	Applicant and Subrecipient (if any) prioritize 75% or more of Federal CoC Program funds to provide housing activities, e.g., rent assistance, leasing units, acquisition, rehabilitation, and/or construction of affordable housing units	
т.	Reduce Length of Time Homeless (0-4 points)	
	Applicant and Subrecipient (if any) describe how the project will house homeless populations to demonstrate a reduction in the length of time people experience homelessness	
U.	Successful Permanent Housing Placement and Reduced Returns to Homelessness (0-4 points)	
	Applicant and Subrecipient (if any) describe the housing barriers experienced by the target population and	
	demonstrates how the project will increase permanent housing placement and retention in housing	

V.	Increased Employment Income (0-3 points)	
	Applicant and Subrecipient (if any) describe how the project will demonstrate assisting the population to	
	increase employment income	
W.	Increased Mainstream Benefits (0-3 points)	
	Applicant and Subrecipient (if any) describe how the project will demonstrate assisting the population to access	
	mainstream benefits, including but not limited to SSI/SSDI, SNAP, Medicaid/Medicare	
X.	Increase access to healthcare through coordination with healthcare organizations (0-2 points)	
	Applicant and Subrecipient (if any) identify partnerships with healthcare organizations to assist participant access to	
	benefits and services	
Υ.	Serves currently underserved populations (0-3 points)	
	Applicant and Subrecipient (if any) describe how they will use project to address and serve populations currently	
	underserved by local programming as identified through consultation with the local CoC	

Bonus Po	pints	5 POINTS
Z.	Meets Continuum of Care Priorities (0-5 points)	
	Project addresses community need through established Continuum of Care population and/or project type priorities.  Projects that address multiple CoC priorities will receive bonus points based on the highest ranked priority.	
	- Project addresses CoC priority #1 = <b>5 points</b>	
	- Project addresses CoC priority #2 = 4 points	
	- Project addresses CoC priority #3 = 3 points	
	- Project addresses CoC priority #4 = 2 points	
	- Project addresses CoC priority #5 = 1 point	
	- Project does not address CoC priorities = <b>0 points</b>	

#### APPENDIX E

#### **Reallocation Policy**

**Background:** The United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (commonly known as "HUD") required that Continuums of Care (CoC) carefully evaluate and review all renewal projects and develop a reallocation process for projects funded with CoC funds. Reallocation is an important tool used by CoCs to make strategic improvements to their homelessness system. Through reallocation, the CoC can create new projects that are aligned with HUD's goals, by eliminating projects that are underperforming or are more appropriately funded from other sources. Reallocation is particularly important when new resources are not available.

**Policy Statement:** Under the Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing Act of 2009 (HEARTH), the HUD reallocation process allows CoC's to fund new or existing projects by transferring all or part of funds from any existing CoC grant which is eligible for renewal to a new or existing project.

The Ozarks Alliance to End Homelessness (OAEH) monitors all programs through review of quarterly reports to determine agency capacity and ability to implement performance measure goals and objectives. All renewal projects are reviewed by the OAEH NOFO Committee. Part of this review looks at how the projects performed. During this process, a project could be considered for voluntary or involuntary reallocation.

An agency may apply for a voluntary reallocation of funds from one project to a different project. However, the OAEH may also find it necessary to consider an involuntary reallocation. A recommended involuntary reallocation from the OAEH NOFO Committee would require approval of the OAEH Executive Board. Approval of an involuntary reallocation would only come from the OAEH Executive Board after it has determined all reasonable attempts to resolve the concerns with the agency have failed. It is initially envisioned that an involuntary reallocation would be rare and would likely be a course of last resort. The OAEH does not take the involuntary reallocation process lightly.

The recommendation for voluntary or involuntary reallocation may be based on any one of the following HUD criteria and the overall score of the project evaluation.

- Outstanding obligation to HUD that is in arrears or for which a payment schedule has not been agreed upon;
- Audit finding(s) for which a response is overdue or unsatisfactory;
- History of inadequate financial management accounting practices;
- Evidence of untimely expenditures on prior award;
- History of other major capacity issues that have significantly impacted the operation of the project and its performance;
- Timeliness in reimbursing sub recipients for eligible costs. HUD will consider a project applicant as meeting this standard if it has drawn down grant funds at least once per month;
- History of serving ineligible persons, expending funds on ineligible costs, or failing to expand funds within statutorily established timeframes;
- History of non-compliance with the OAEH Coordinated Entry System Policies and Procedures; or,
- Programs did not consistently meet OAEH's performance measures.

However, ranking near or at the bottom of performance measures or project evaluation scores will not lead automatically to involuntary reallocation.